EXHIBIT 26

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON

COUNTY OF KING		
John Warta,		
Plaintiff(s),	NO. 99-2-02287-4SEA	
v.	NOTE FOR HEARING SEATTLE COURTHOUSE HEARING ONLY	
GST USA, Inc., et al.	(Clerk's Action Required)	
Defendant(s).	SEATTLE	
on the calendar checked below. Calendar Date: October 15, 2004 Day of Week: F	es per list on Page 2: be heard on the date below and the Clerk is directed to note this issue riday	
Nature of Motion: Motion for Reinstatement of Action DESIGNATED CALENDAR I	FOR JUDGE HEARINGS – SEATTLE	
CASES ASSIGNED TO INDIVIDUAL JUDGES-Seattle	OR JUDGE HEARINGS - SEATTLE	
	l argument Hearing	
Date/Time: If oral argument on the motion is allowed (LP, 7(b)(2)) or	ontact staff of assigned judge to schedule date and time before filing this notice.	
Judge's Name: Glenna Hall Trial Date: n/a	britact start of assigned judge to schedule date and time before filling this house,	
*Working Papers: The judge's name, date and time of hearing mu	st be noted in the upper right corner of the Judge'e Copy.	
Delivery Judge's copies to Judge's Mailroom at C203.		
CHIEF CRIMINAL DEPARTMENT – Seattle in E1201 Bond Forfeiture 3:15 p.m., 2 nd Thur of each month		
☐ Bond Fortesture 3:15 p.m., 2 ^m Thur of each month ☐ Certificates of Rehabilitation, Weapon Possession (Convictions from Limited Jurisdiction Courts) 3:30 First Tues of each r		
CHIEF CIVIL DEPARTMENT - Seattle-(Please report to W764		
Extraordinary Writs (Show Cause Hearing) (LR 40(a)		
☐ Supplemental Proceedings (1:30 pm Tues/Wed) ☐ DOL Stays 1:30 p.m. Tues/Wed	☐ Public Use and Necessity Hearing (1:30 p.m. Tues) ☐ Motions to Consolidate (without oral argument)	
Non-Assigned Cases:	Motions to Consolidate (without of at argument)	
Certificates of Rehabilitation (Employment) 1:30 p.m. Tues/Wed		
Dispositive Motions and Revisions (1:30 pm Tues/Wed)		
[Injon-Dispositive Motions (without oral argument) Delivery working copies to Judge's Madroom, Room C203. In upper right corner of papers write "Chief Civil Department" and date of hearing.		
1 - 1/1/2	er right corner of papers write Chief Civil Department and date of hearing.	
Signature:		
Print/Type Name: Thomas D. Adams WSBA # 18470 (if attorney) Address: Bullivant Houser, Bailey, 1601 Fifth Ave., Ste. 2400 City, State, Zip: Seattle, WA 98101		
Address. Bullivalit Housel, Bailey, 1001 Filth Ave., Ste. 2400 City, State, 27p: Seattle, WA 93101 Attorney for: Defendants GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc.		
Telephone: (206) 292-8930	•	
DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR FAMILY LAW, I	EX PARTE OR RALJ MOTIONS.	

NOTICE OF HEARING-SEATTLE COURTHOUSE ONLY (NTHG)

Case: Warta v. GST For: Motion for Reinstatement No.: 99-2-02287-4SEA Dated: October 15, 2004

LIST NAMES, ADDRESSES & TELEPHONE NUMBERS OF ALL PARTIES REQUIRING NOTICE

Name: Peter H. Glade

WSBA No. 15681 Markowitz, Herbold, Glade & Mehlhaf, P.C.

1211 SW Fifth Ave., Ste. 3000 Portland, OR 97204-3730 Phone: (503) 295-3085 #139

Fax: (503) 323-9105

Attorney for: Plaintiff

Name: Christopher H. Kent WSBA No. 31399

Christopher H. Kent Kent Curtis LLP 1500 SW Taylor St. Portland, OR 97205

Phone: (503) 220-0717 Fax: (503) 220-4299

Attorney for: Plaintiff

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING CASES

Party requesting hearing must file motion & affidavits separately along with this notice. List names, addresses and telephone numbers of all parties requiring notice (including GAL) on this page. Serve a copy of this notice, with motion documents, on all parties.

The original must be filed at the Clerk's Office not less than **six** court days prior to requested hearing date, except for Summary Judgment Motions (to be filed with Clerk 28 days in advance).

THIS IS ONLY A PARTIAL SUMMARY OF THE LOCAL RULES AND ALL PARTIES ARE ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY.

The SEATTLE COURTHOUSE is in Seattle, Washington at 516 Third Avenue. The Clerk's Office is on the sixth floor, room E609. The Judge's Mailroom is Room C203.

3417647.1

1		HONORABLE GLENNA HALI	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING		
8			
9	JOHN WARTA, Plaintiff,	No. 99-2-02287-4SEA	
11	v.	GST DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF ACTION	
12	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware		
13 14	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Canadian corporation,		
15	Defendants.		
16	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware		
17 18	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Canadian corporation,		
19	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,		
20	JOHN WARTA and his marital community; CLIFFORD SANDER and his marital		
21	community,		
22	Counterclaim Defendants.		
23	I. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>		
24	Defendants GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc.		
25	("GST") requests that this Court reinstate this action following an improper administrative		
26	dismissal under KCLR 41(b)(2)(A). The acti	on was stayed under 11 U.S.C. § 362 on May	

4

5

8

7

9 10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

~

22

23

24

25

26

Page 2 - GST DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF ACTION

23, 2000, yet the Clerk dismissed the action without prejudice and without notice to the parties on December 20, 2000. Because the action was stayed by GST's bankruptcy, such dismissal was error. Consequently, GST requests this Court to reinstate the action.

II. FACTS

Plaintiff Warta filed the original lawsuit on January 25, 1999. GST answered and filed a counterclaim on February 23, 1999. Trial was scheduled for June 12, 2000. See Adams Dec., Ex. A. On May 17, 2000, GST sought protection from creditors by filing an action under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. See Adams Dec., Ex. B. Thereafter, GST filed a Notice of Bankruptcy filing in this Court on May 23, 2000, which was duly served on counsel of record for the parties. See Adams Dec., Ex. B

Without notice to GST, and presumably without notice to any other party, the King County Superior Court Clerk entered a *Clerk's Order of Dismissal* without prejudice on December 20, 2000. *See Adams Dec., Ex. A.* GST never received the *Clerk's Order of Dismissal* prior to its existence being called to counsel's attention in the course of GST's bankruptcy proceedings. *See Adams Dec.,* In fact, the first time GST's Seattle counsel reviewed or saw the *Clerk's Order of Dismissal* was September 28, 2004. *See Adams Dec.,* ¶3.

III. ISSUE PRESENTED

Should the Court reinstate King County Superior Court Cause Number 99-2-02287-4 when the Court Clerk dismissed the action without prejudice despite GST's pending bankruptcy and GST's filing of a *Notice of Bankruptcy* Filing?

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT

King County Superior Court Cause Number 99-2-02287-4 must be reinstated. 11 U.S.C. § 362 provides for a stay when a party has filed for bankruptcy protection. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362, on May 23, 2000, counsel for GST filed a Notice of Bankruptcy filing stating "all actions against the debtors or any of the assets of the debtors are stayed." *See*

11	\cdot		
1	Adams Dec., Ex. B.		
2	The Clerk's administrative dismissal was undertaken pursuant to KCLR 41(b)(2)(A)		
3	and RCW 4.56.120 which state:		
4	An action in the superior court may be dismissed by the court and a judgment of nonsuit rendered in the following cases:		
5	***		
6 7	(4) Upon its own motion, when, upon the trial and before the final submission of the case, the plaintiff abandons it.		
	RCW 4.56.120(4)(emphasis added).		
8	KCLR 41(b)(2)(a) provides:		
9	(b) Involuntary Dismissal.		
10 11	(2) Dismissal on Clerk's Motion.		
12	(A) Failure to Appear for Trial. If the court has not been		
	previously notified that the trial is no longer necessary, an order of dismissal will be entered on the date the trial is to be		
13	commenced. If the court has been notified and the case has not been disposed of within 45 days after the scheduled trial date,		
14 15	the case will be dismissed without prejudice on the clerk's motion without prior notice to the parties, unless the parties have filed a certificate of settlement as provided in LR 41(e)(3).		
16	The clerk will mail all parties or their attorneys of record a copy of the order of dismissal.		
17	(emphasis added).		
18	Here, there was no abandonment of any claim by any party and the Clerk had no		
19	discretion or even right to dismiss the case. Moreover, pursuant to the Local Rule, the Clerk		
20	should have mailed the Order of Dismissal to the parties or their attorneys. Because that did		
21	not occur, GST never even had an opportunity to cure the problem before now.		
22	V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
23	Manifest injustice will result unless the above-captioned matter is reinstated. The		
24	Clerk lacked legal authority to administratively dismiss the case while the automatic stay is		
25	in effect and compounded the error by failing to follow the Local Rule requiring that all		
26			

parties by mailed a copy of the dismissal order. GST respectfully requests that its Motion for Reinstatement be GRANTED. A 2 3 proposed order accompanies this Motion. DATED this 6th day of October, 2004. 4 Bullivant Houser Bailey PC 5 6 Ву 7 Thomas D. Adams, WSBA #18470 Kristi Favard, WSBA #34419 8 9 Attorneys for Defendants GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc. 10 3417641.1 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

$1 \ $		HONORABLE GLENNA HALL
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING	
8	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTIOFKING
9	JOHN WARTA,	No. 99-2-02287-4SEA
10	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF THOMAS D.
11	v.	ADAMS IN SUPPORT OF GST DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
12	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware	REINSTATEMENT
13	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a	
14	Canadian corporation,	
15	Defendants.	
16	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware	
17	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a	
18	Canadian corporation,	
19	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	
20	JOHN WARTA and his marital community; CLIFFORD SANDER and his marital	
21	community,	
22	Counterclaim Defendants.]
23	I, THOMAS D. ADAMS, declare and state as follows:	
24		competent to testify as to the facts stated
25	herein.	1000
26	2. I represented Defendants GST	USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST

Telecommunications, Inc. ("GST") in the above matter and have personal knowledge of all matters attested to in this declaration.

- Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Clerk's Order of 3. Dismissal entered into in this matter. I first obtained it on September 28, 2004. I previously was unaware of its existence and was not served with a copy when it was issued.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Notice of 4. Bankruptcy Filing, staying the action, which I filed and caused to be served on or about May 23, 2000.
- To the best of my knowledge, GST never received the Order of Dismissal or 5. invoice from the King County Office of Finance for a non-compliance fee.

I hereby affirm subject to penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

DATED: October 6, 2004, at Seattle, King County, Washington.

3417642.1

26

1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101-1618 Telephone: (206) 292-8930

EXHIBIT A







KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

DEC 2 D 2000

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

WARTA

Plaintiff/Petitioner,

٧s.

GST USA INC ET AL

Defendant/Respondent.

NO. 99-2-02287-4 SEA

CLERK'S ORDER OF DISMISSAL

(CLOD)

I. BASIS

Pursuant to R.C.W. 4.56.120(4), the Court may dismiss an action abandoned by the plaintiff upon trial. Pursuant to King County Local Rule 41(b)(2)(A), if the case has not been disposed of within 45 days after the scheduled trial date, the case will be dismissed without prejudice on the Clerk's motion without prior notice to the parties.

- 1.1 This case was set for trial on 6/12/00
- 1.2 More than forty five (45) days have passed since the scheduled trial date, the Clerk has researched the legal file and no final dispositive document/order has been received.

II. ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that this case is dismissed without prejudice. (This dismissal does not affect divorces, determinations of parentage, child support orders, judgments, or other orders entered prior to the filing of the latest action.)

An invoice from the King County Office of Finance for a non-compliance fee will be mailed to you within 30 days of this Notice, pursuant to King County Code 4.71.050.

Date:

DEC 2 0 2000

Presented By:
PAUL L. SHERFEY
KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

By: Nestor Tamayao, Deputy Clerk

Case Management: (206) 296-7872

Assigned to Department 10

Presiding Judge

91

EXHIBIT B

26

Clerk of Court

And To:

Plaintiff John Warta and Counterclaim Defendants John Warta, Clifford

Sander, and their counsel of record

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc., filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code on May 17, 2000. The Petition was filed in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. The case numbers, respectively, are 00-01984, 00-01982 and 00-01983. The Debtor in Possession's counsel for each debtor is David Heller of Latham & Watkins, 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5800, Chicago, Illinois 60606-6401, and their telephone number is (312) 876-7700.

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362, all actions against the debtors or any of the assets of the debtors are stayed.

DATED this 23rd day of May, 2000.

BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY A Professional Corporation

Thomas D. Adams, WSBA No. 18470

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation David S. Steuer (Pro Hac Vice) Jared L. Kopel (Pro Hac Vice) Douglas J. Clark (Pro Hac Vice) 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300

Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc.

F:\CLIENTS\12368\11\PLDGS\NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING.DOC

HONORABLE GLENNA HALL 1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 8 9 JOHN WARTA, No. 99-2-02287-4SEA Plaintiff, 10 ORDER GRANTING GST **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR** 11 ν. REINSTATEMENT OF ACTION GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a PROPOSED Canadian corporation, Defendants. 15 GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; 16 GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware corporation and GST 17 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Canadian corporation, 18 Counterclaim Plaintiffs, 19 JOHN WARTA and his marital community; 20 CLIFFORD SANDER and his marital community, 21 Counterclaim Defendants. 22 THIS MATTER having come on regularly for hearing before the undersigned Judge 23 of the above-entitled Court, upon Defendants GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST 24 Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Reinstatement of Action, and the Court having 25 reviewed the pleadings, and having heard argument of counsel, and being otherwise fully

advised, NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that GST Defendants' 2 Motion for Reinstatement of Action is granted. Cause number 99-2-02287-4SEA shall be 3 revived and stayed and then shall remain pending on the Court's docket in the same status as 4 existed on December 20, 2000, with all claims and defenses of all parties' preserved as they 5 6 then existed, pending further order of this Court. 7 SIGNED this _____day of October, 2004. 8 9 10 11 Presented By: 12 Bullivant Houser Bailey PC 13 14 Thomas D. Adams, WSBA #18470 15 Kristi Favard, WSBA #34419 16 Attorneys for Defendants GST USA, Inc., GST Telecom, Inc., and GST Telecommunications, Inc. 17 3417636.1 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Honorable Glenna Hall

1		HONORABLE GLENNA HALL
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING	
8		
9	JOHN WARTA,	No. 99-2-02287-4SEA
10	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
11	V.	
12	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware	
13	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a	
14	Canadian corporation,	
15	Defendants.	
16	GST USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; GST TELECOM, INC., a Delaware	
17	corporation and GST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a	
18	Canadian corporation, Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	
19	,	
20	JOHN WARTA and his MARITAL COMMUNITY and CLIFFORD SANDER	
21	and his MARITAL COMMUNITY	
22	Counterclaim Defendants.	
23	The undersigned certifies that on Wednesday, October 06, 2004, I caused to be served	
24	1. Note for Hearing;	
25	2. GST Defendants' Motion for F	Reinstatement of Action;
26		

Page 18 of 18